

DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Module 8 Industrial Hygiene Testing Checklist

Checklists used for this	☐ M1/M2 PT/QMS
assessment activity:	☐ M3 Asbestos Testing
	☐ M4 Chemical Testing
	☐ M5 Microbiological Testing
	☐ M6 Radiochemical Testing
	☐ M7 Toxicity Testing
	☐ M8 Industrial Hygiene Testing
This checklist is only a tool,	and not considered as the requirements of the standard(s)!
If there is a disagreement h	etween this checklist and the standard(s), the standard(s) shall prevail.
ii tilere is a disagreement b	retween this checklist and the standard(s), the standard(s) shall prevail.
Identify conformity for each	n requirement along with comments/objective evidence for each clause assessed.
A clarifying statement prov	ides additional information to help understand a requirement.
A permission is an approach	that a conformity assessment body can use to achieve compliance.
Assessment Number:	
CAB Name:	
Physical Address:	
Assessment Date(s):	
Assessors(s):	

DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity C/NC/NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
M8	Quality Systems for Industrial Hygiene Testing		
M8: 4.0	Proficiency Testing		
M8: 4.0	For all Fields of Testing (FoT) in a laboratory's scope of accreditation, is proficiency demonstrated by completing one of the proficiency demonstrations listed below? The proficiency demonstrations are listed in priority order.		
M8: 4.1	External Proficiency Testing Program		
M8: 4.1	Does the laboratory participate in an external proficiency testing (PT) program in accordance with the American Industrial Hygiene Association Laboratory		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity C/NC/NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
	Accreditation Programs, LLC (AIHA LAP) policy module		
	on proficiency testing?		
NAO: 4.4.4	Are proficiency testing providers accredited to ISO/IEC		
M8: 4.1.1	17043?		
	If an ISO/IEC 17043 accredited PT provider is not		
M8: 4.1.1	available, and a non-accredited PT provider is used,		
	was approval of the accrediting body obtained?		
	Is proficiency testing performed using the same		
M8: 4.1.2	preparation, analytical procedure, and instrumentation		
	combination used to test customer samples?		
	To obtain initial accreditation, has the laboratory		
M8: 4.1.3	participated in and passed two consecutive rounds of		
	PT per FoT?		
M8: 4.2	External Proficiency Testing Program Not Available		
-	When an external PT program is not available, are the		
	PT requirements met by participating in a round robin		
M8: 4.2.1	study in accordance with the AIHA LAP policy module		
	on proficiency testing?		
	Has laboratory submitted in writing to its DoD-ELAP		
	and/or DOECAP-AP accrediting body (AB) a list of items		
M8: 4.2.2	on its scope of accreditation for which an external PT		
	program is not available?		
	Are there procedures for participation in round robin		
M8: 4.2.3	studies?		
	Do(es) the procedure describe the schedule and		
M8: 4.2.3	acceptance criteria for round robin studies?		
	When results are unacceptable, is the nonconforming		
M8: 4.2.3	work procedure implemented?		
	Are records maintained of the round robin studies for		
M8: 4.2.4	each FoT on the scope that uses round robin studies to		
	meet PT requirements?		
	Are these requirements met until an external PT		
M8: 4.2.5	program is available?		
	Is there a history of two successful round robin studies		
M8: 4.2.6	out of the most recent three attempts achieved for		
	each FoT?		
	Note: If the laboratory has two consecutive acceptable		Clarifying Statement
M8: 4.2.6	round robin studies, a third study is not needed.		, 5
	To obtain initial accreditation, has the laboratory		
M8: 4.2.7	participated in and passed two consecutive round robin		
	studies per FoT?		
M8: 4.3	No Round Robin Studies		
	When an external PT program is not available and a round robin study is prohibited, proprietary, or		
M8: 4.3	impractical, does the laboratory shall meet PT		
	requirements by participating in an internal PT program		
	fredoments by barticipating in an internal Fr brogram		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity C/NC/NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
	in accordance with the AIHA LAP policy module on		
	proficiency testing?		
	Has the laboratory submitted in writing to its DoD ELAP		
	AB and/or its DOECAP-AP AB a list of items on its scope		
M8: 4.3.1	of accreditation for which an external PT is not		
	available and a round robin study is prohibited,		
	proprietary, or impractical?		
	Is there a procedure for the internal PT program that		
	includes spiking procedures, frequency, responsibility		
M8: 4.3.2	for implementation, statistical treatment of resultant		
	data, acceptance criteria, and actions to be taken in the		
	event of an unacceptable result?		
	Are records maintained of compliance with the internal		
M8: 4.3.3	PT program for each FoT on the scope that uses an		
	internal PT program to meet PT requirements?		
M8: 4.3.4	Are these requirements met until a round robin study,		
1010. 4.3.4	or an external PT program, is available?		
M8: 4.3.5	To obtain initial accreditation, has the laboratory		
1010. 4.3.3	passed two consecutive rounds of internal PT per FoT?		
M8: 4.4	No Internal PT Program		
	When an external PT program is not available, a round		Permission
	robin study is prohibited, proprietary, or impractical,		
	and participating in an internal PT program is		
M8: 4.4	impractical then the laboratory may be permitted to		
1010. 4.4	meet PT requirements by demonstrating proficiency		
	through the implementation of an internal QC program		
	in accordance with the AIHA LAP policy module on		
	proficiency testing.		
	Has the laboratory obtained concurrence from its DoD		
M8: 4.4.1	ELAP AB and/or its DOECAP-AP AB to meet PT		
11101 11112	requirements by demonstrating proficiency through		
	the implementation of an internal QC program?		
	Is there a procedure for the internal QC program that		
	includes schedule and frequency of evaluation,		
M8: 4.4.2	identification of QC samples evaluated, acceptance		
	criteria, and the actions to be taken in the event of an		
	unacceptable result?		
N40: 4 4 3	Are records maintained of compliance with the internal		
M8: 4.4.3	QC program evaluation for each FoT on its scope that		
	uses an internal QC program meeting PT requirement?		
M8: 4.4.4	To obtain initial accreditation, has the laboratory		
	passed two consecutive rounds of internal PT per FoT?		
M8: 5.0	Method Selection		
	The requirements in the Module 2 section on		Clarifying Statement
M8: 5.0	"Selection, Verification and Validation of Methods"		
	apply.		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity C / NC / NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
	When adding a new analyte to a reference method,		
	does the inclusion of the analyte in the method meet		
M8: 5.0	all required calibration requirements and the QC		
	requirements of the method to which the analyte is		
	being added?		
	If no QC requirements exist in the method, are the		
M8: 5.0	requirements outlined in a reference method of the		
	same technology (when available) adhered to?		
	When adding an analyte, are the requirements of the		
M8: 5.0	relevant regulations followed to determine whether		
	the addition of an analyte represents a method		
140.60	modification?		
M8: 6.0	Method Validation		
M8: 6.1	Validation of Methods		
M8: 6.1	Before acceptance and institution of any method for		
	which data will be reported, are all methods validated?		
	Does method validation meet the requirements in the		
M8: 6.1.1	Module 2 Section on "Selection, Verification, and		
	Validation of Methods" as well as all criteria in this Module?		
	Are reference methods validated through the initial		
	determinations of a detection limit (DL) if required, a		
	limit of detection (LOD) if required, and a limit of		
	quantitation (LOQ) as well as an Initial Demonstration		
M8: 6.1.2	of Capability (DOC)?		
	Note: Requirements for DL, LOD and LOQ are contained		
	in this module's section on "Detection Limits, Limits of		
	Detection, and Limits of Quantitation." If the reference method has additional validation		
M8: 6.1.2	requirements, are these requirements also met?		
	In addition to the QC procedures for reference		
	methods, are modified reference methods and non-		
	reference methods (including laboratory-developed		
M8: 6.1.3	methods) validated using QC procedures and		
	acceptance criteria that are consistent with those of		
	similar reference methods or technologies, and does		
	the validation include the following?		
M8: 6.1.3.a	Scope?		
M8: 6.1.3.b	Calibration verification?		
M8: 6.1.3.c	Interferences and cross-contamination?		
M8: 6.1.3.d	Analyte identification?		_
M8: 6.1.3.e	Analyte quantitation?		
M8: 6.1.3.f	Selectivity?		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity C / NC / NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
M8: 6.1.3.g	Sensitivity? and		
M8: 6.1.3.h	Precision and bias?		
M8: 6.1.4	Is the use of any modified or non-reference method approved by the customer before use?		
M8: 6.1.5	Are methods validated when substantive modifications are made to reference methods (e.g., stoichiometry, technology, mass tuning acceptance criteria, quantitation ions, compressing digestion or extraction timeframes, reducing reagent or solvent volumes, changing solvents, or compressing instrument runtimes)?		
M8: 6.1.6	When a modification of a method includes changes to bulk sample (e.g., soil, paint chips) preparation steps, does the validation process include analysis of field samples in the matrix of concern?		
M8: 6.1.6	Does validation include, where possible, parallel studies using the reference method versus the modified method?		
M8: 6.1.6.a	Do the field samples contain target analytes either found natively in the samples or spiked into the sample?		
M8: 6.1.6.a	Does the validation include multiple levels of target analyte concentrations?		
M8: 6.1.6.a	In this context, "matrix of concern" means samples that are like, or from specific sampling sites, in which the method will be used.		Clarifying Statement
M8: 6.1.6.b	Where modifications to only the analytical portion of the method are planned, are any effects the matrix may have on the analysis taken into account as part of its risk assessment?		
M8: 6.2	Detection Limit, Limit of Detection, and Limit of Quantitation		
M8: 6.2	For each analyte in each field of testing, are there procedures for determining and verifying DL, LOD, and LOQ that reflect current operating conditions?		
M8: 6.2	DL, LOD, and LOQ determinations are not required for methods such as gravimetric or asbestos. DL and LOD determinations are not required if results are not reported below the LOQ, unless required by regulation or method.		Permission
M8: 6.2	For each preparation method listed on the scope of accreditation, is a DL, LOD, and LOQ determined, unless it falls within one of the stated exceptions?		
M8: 6.2	Although the laboratory is not required to determine a separate DL, LOD and LOQ for all possible combinations of preparation and cleanup techniques in use, is the DL, LOD, and LOQ determined using the combination of		



PJLA	PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity C/NC/NA	Comments/Objective Evidence	
	processes most likely to interfere with sensitivity (i.e., preparation method with all applicable cleanup/preparation steps)?			
M8: 6.2	Are the DL, LOD and LOQ reported for each analyte in each field of testing unless it is not applicable to the test or specifically excluded by customer requirements?			
M8: 6.2	Are records of all supporting data for DL, LOD, and LOQ determinations maintained?			
M8: 6.2.1	Determination of the Detection Limit			
M8: 6.2.1	When required to establish a DL, are published methodologies used from recognized entities such as USEPA, USDOE, ASTM, or NIOSH?			
M8: 6.2.1	The DL may be established based on historical data.		Permission	
M8: 6.2.2	Initial Determination of the Limit of Detection			
M8: 6.2.2	Does the LOD determination procedure address the following requirements?			
M8: 6.2.2.a	After each DL determination, is the LOD established by spiking a quality system matrix at a concentration greater than or equal to the DL?			
M8: 6.2.2.a	Is the LOD equal to the concentration of this spike?			
M8: 6.2.2.b	Is the apparent signal to noise (S/N) ratio at the LOD at least three?			
M8: 6.2.2.b	Do the results meet all method requirements for analyte identification (e.g., ion abundance, second column confirmation, or pattern recognition)?			
M8: 6.2.2.c	For data systems that do not provide a measure of noise, does the signal produced by the LOD spike produce a response that is at least three standard deviations greater than the mean Method Blank concentration?			
M8: 6.2.2.d	Is the mean Method Blank initially estimated based on a minimum of four Method Blank analyses and later established with a minimum of 20 Method Blank results?			
M8: 6.2.2.e	If the LOD spike response does not meet the requirements, is the DL and/or LOD determination repeated at a higher level, or is the nonconforming work procedure implemented, until the requirements are met?			
M8: 6.2.3	Ongoing Verification of the Limit of Detection			
M8: 6.2.3	Does the LOD verification procedure address the following requirements?			
M8: 6.2.3.a	Is the LOD verified annually, at a minimum?			



PJLA			
DoD/DOE	Requirement	Conformity	Comments/Objective Evidence
QSM 6.0 Clause	nequilement	C/NC/NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
	Is the verification made by repeating the LOD spike		
	process at a concentration that is greater than or equal		
140 6 2 2	to half the current LOD and less than or equal to		
M8: 6.2.3.a	double the current LOD (i.e., 1/2x LOD ≤ Ongoing LOD		
	Spike ≤ 2x LOD) provided the ongoing spike		
	concentration is greater than or equal to the DL?		
	Does the Ongoing LOD verification meet the same		
M8: 6.2.3.a	acceptance criteria as the Initial LOD verification for		
	signal-to-noise and analyte identification?		
M8: 6.2.3.a	Is the Initial LOD not considered verified and not		
IVIO. 0.2.3.d	continue to be used until acceptance criteria are met?		
	In the event the verification fails, is the LOD		
M8: 6.2.3.b	redetermined and, if necessary, the DL, or is the		
1010. 0.2.3.0	nonconforming work procedure implemented, until the		
	requirements are met?		
	If the method is altered in a way other than routine		
M8: 6.2.3.c	maintenance, and the change can be expected to		
1010. 0.2.3.0	elevate the detection limit, is the LOD reverified using		
	the Ongoing LOD verification procedure?		
	If there are multiple instruments that will be assigned		
M8: 6.2.3.d	the same LOD, does the LOD verification spike meet		
	the requirements on each instrument?		
	In situations where methods are setup and used on an		
	infrequent basis, and the laboratory chooses to		
	perform ongoing LOD verifications on a one-per-batch		
M8: 6.2.3.e	basis, before sample analysis, in lieu of annual		
	verification, does the verification data meet the		
	requirements of this section and reported to the		
	customer?		
M8: 6.2.4	Initial and Ongoing Verification of the Limit of		
	Quantitation		
M8: 6.2.4	Does the LOQ verification procedure address the		
	following requirements?		
	For methods using multi-level calibration, is an LOQ		
140.634	selected for each analyte that is greater than or equal		
M8: 6.2.4.a	to the LOD and the lowest non-zero calibration		
	standard, but no greater than 10 times the LOD (i.e.,		
	LOD ≤ LOQ < 10x LOD)?		
	For methods using a single-point calibration, is the LOQ greater than or equal to the LOD and greater than or		
M8: 6.2.4.a	equal to the low-level calibration check standard, but		
	•		
	no greater than 10 times the LOD? Is the LOQ verified through analysis of verification		
M8: 6.2.4.b	samples?		
	Does the LOQ verification sample consist of a spiked		
M8: 6.2.4.b	quality system matrix greater than or equal to the LOD		
	or one-half the LOQ, whichever is less, and less than or		
	or one half the Log, willenever is less, and less than or		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	C / NC / NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
	equal to double the LOQ (i.e., LOD or $1/2 \text{ LOQ} \le \text{LOQ}$ spike $\le 2x \text{ LOQ}$)?		
M8: 6.2.4.b	Does the LOQ verification meet the same criteria as the initial LOD verification for signal-to-noise and analyte identification and is within the laboratory's stated acceptance criteria?		
M8: 6.2.4.b	Is the acceptance criteria determined based on a maximum of three standard deviations from the mean of historical data, but no wider than the laboratory control sample (LCS) acceptance criteria with an additional 20% allowance above and below?		
M8: 6.2.4.b	Is the lower limit greater than or equal to 10% recovery?		
M8: 6.2.4.c	In the event the verification fails, is the LOQ redetermined and, if necessary, the DL and/or LOD; or is the nonconforming work procedure implemented, until the requirements are met?		
M8: 6.2.4.d	If there are multiple instruments that will be assigned the same LOQ, is verification performed on each instrument?		
M8: 6.2.4.e	Is the LOQ verified annually, at a minimum?		
M8: 6.2.5	Is the following DL, LOD, and LOQ summary information available when requested?		
M8: 6.2.5.a	Indication of which analyte/matrix/prep method/analytical method and instrument used?		
M8: 6.2.5.b	DL?		
M8: 6.2.5.c	Claimed LOD?		
M8: 6.2.5.d	Concentration of initial LOD spike and verification spike, if different?		
M8: 6.2.5.e	Statement of compliance with analyte identification requirements?		
M8: 6.2.5.f	Signal-to-noise value or statement of compliance with requirements?		
M8: 6.2.5.g	Claimed LOQ?		
M8: 6.2.5.h	Concentration of LOQ spike?		
M8: 6.2.5.i	Recovery or result of LOQ spike?		
M8: 6.2.5.j	Acceptance criteria at the LOQ? and		
M8: 6.2.5.k	If specifically requested, raw data to support parameters reported?		
M8: 6.3	Evaluation of Method Precision and Bias		
M8: 6.3.1	Are the precision and bias of a method evaluated for each analyte of concern for each quality system matrix or is a documented alternate procedure followed when		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity C/NC/NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
	the analyte cannot be spiked into the sample matrix		
	and QC samples are not commercially available?		
M8: 6.3.2	Is there a procedure for determining precision and bias?		
M8: 6.3.3	Are the samples processed through the entire measurement system for each analyte of interest?		
M8: 6.3.4	Do precision and bias measurements evaluate the method across the analytical calibration range of the method?		
M8: 6.3.5	Are results of the precision and bias measurements compared with criteria established by the customer, criteria given in the reference method, and/or criteria established by the laboratory?		
M8: 6.4	Evaluation of Selectivity		
M8: 6.4	Is selectivity evaluated by following the checks established within the method, which may include mass spectral tuning, second column confirmation, inter-element interference checks, chromatography retention time windows, sample blanks, spectrochemical absorption or fluorescence profiles, and electrode response factors?		
M8: 7.0	Demonstration of Capability (DOC)		
M8: 7.1	General		
M8: 7.1.1	Does an individual who performs any activity involved with preparation and/or analysis of samples have constant, close supervision (as defined in the laboratory's training procedure) until a satisfactory initial DOC is completed?		
M8: 7.1.2	Thereafter, does the individual perform ongoing DOC?		
M8: 7.1.3	In cases where an individual has prepared and/or analyzed samples using a method that has been in use by the laboratory for at least one year before applying for accreditation, and there have been no significant changes in instrument type or method, the ongoing DOC shall be acceptable as an initial DOC.		Permission
M8: 7.1.3	Are records maintained to demonstrate that an initial DOC is not required?		
M8: 7.1.4	Are all data applicable to the DOC retained and readily available at the laboratory?		
M8: 7.2	Initial DOC		
M8: 7.2	Does each individual successfully perform an initial DOC before using any method, any time there is a change in instrument type or method that could potentially affect the precision and bias, sensitivity, or selectivity of the output, or any time that a method has		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity C/NC/NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
	not been performed by the individual in a 12-month period?		
M8: 7.2	Examples of method changes that could potentially affect the precision and bias, sensitivity, or selectivity of the output include a change in the detector, column type, matrix, method revision, or other components of the sample measurement system.		Clarifying Statement
M8: 7.2.1	Is there a procedure for performing an initial DOC?		
M8: 7.2.2	Are records maintained of each initial DOC in a manner such that the following information is readily available for each individual?		
M8: 7.2.2.a	Individual(s) involved in preparation and/or analysis?		
M8: 7.2.2.b	Matrix?		
M8: 7.2.2.c	Analyte(s), class of analyte(s)?		
M8: 7.2.2.d	Identification of method(s) performed?		
M8: 7.2.2.e	Identification of laboratory-specific procedure used for analysis, including revision number?		
M8: 7.2.2.f	Date(s) of analysis? and		
M8: 7.2.2.g	Summary of analyses?		
M8: 7.2.3	If the reference method or regulation does not specify how to perform an initial DOC, the following procedure is acceptable.		Permission
M8: 7.2.3	Are other approaches to initial DOC documented to be adequate?		
M8: 7.2.3.a	The analyte(s) shall be spiked in a volume of clean quality system matrix (i.e., a matrix in which no target analytes or interferences are present at concentrations that will impact the results of a specific method) sufficient to prepare four aliquots at the concentration specified in the reference method, or if unspecified, to a concentration of one to four times the LOQ.		Permission
M8: 7.2.3.b	At least four aliquots shall be prepared and analyzed according to the method.		Permission
M8: 7.2.3.c	Using all the results, calculate the mean recovery in the appropriate reporting units and the standard deviations of the sample (in the same units) for each analyte of interest. When it is not possible to determine mean and standard deviations, such as for presence/absence and logarithmic values, the laboratory shall assess performance against established and documented criteria.		Permission
M8: 7.2.3.d	Compare the information from 7.2.3.c above to the corresponding acceptance criteria for precision and accuracy in the method, if applicable, or in laboratory-generated acceptance criteria if there are not		Permission



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity	Comments/Objective Evidence
QSIVI 6.0 Clause		C/NC/NA	
	acceptance criteria in the method. If all analytes meet		
	the acceptance criteria, the analysis of actual samples		
	may begin. If any one of the analytes does not meet		
	the acceptance criteria, the performance is		
	unacceptable for that analyte.		
	When one or more of the tested analytes fail at least		
M8: 7.2.3.e	one of the acceptance criteria, does the analyst		
	proceed according to i) or ii) below?		
	The source of the failure is located and corrected, and		
M8: 7.2.3.e.i	the DOC procedure is repeated for all analytes of		
	interest?		
M8: 7.2.3.e.ii	The DOC procedure is repeated for all analytes that		
17101 7121010111	failed to meet criteria?		
	Repeated failure, however, confirms a general problem		
	with the measurement system. If repeated failure		
M8: 7.2.3.f	occurs, is the source of the failure located and		
	corrected, and the DOC procedure repeated for all		
	analytes?		
	When an analyte not currently found on the		
M8: 7.2.3.g	laboratory's list of accredited analytes		
	is added to an existing accredited method, is an initial		
	demonstration performed for that analyte?		
M8: 7.3	Ongoing DOC		
	Is there a procedure for ongoing DOC that includes how		
M8: 7.3.1	the laboratory will identify data associated with		
	ongoing DOCs?		
	Does the individual demonstrate on-going capability by		
M8: 7.3.1	routinely meeting the QC requirements of the		
1010171312	reference method, laboratory procedure, customer		
	requirements, and/or this standard?		
M8: 7.3.1	If the method has not been performed by the individual		
	in a 12-month period, is an initial DOC performed?		
M8: 7.3.1	Are other approaches to ongoing DOC documented to		
	be adequate?		
M8: 7.3.2	Is the on-going demonstration one of the following?		
	Acceptable performance of a blind sample (single blind		
	to the individual) or blind PT sample on a similar		
	method using the same technology;		
M8: 7.3.2.a			
	Note: Acceptable results for both detected and non-		
	detected analytes are considered acceptable		
	performance.		
M8: 7.3.2.b	Another initial DOC;		
	Is there at least four consecutive LCSs with acceptable		
M8: 7.3.2.c	levels of precision and accuracy?		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity C/NC/NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
	Does the laboratory determine the acceptable limits for		
	precision and accuracy before analysis?		
	Does the laboratory tabulate or be able to readily		
	retrieve four consecutive passing LCSs or reference		
	samples for each method for each individual performed		
	within the last 12-month period?		
	Does the laboratory follow a procedure for reviewing		
	records of QC samples meeting the QC requirements of		
	the method, laboratory procedure, customer		
M8: 7.3.2.d	requirements, and/or this standard?		
	Is the review of these records used to identify patterns		
	and determine if corrective action or retraining is		
	necessary?		
	If a) through d) are not technically feasible, is analysis		
M8: 7.3.2.e	of real-world samples with results within a pre-defined		
1010. 7.3.2.6	acceptance criterion (as defined by the laboratory or		
	method) performed?		
M8: 8.0	Technical Requirements		
M8: 8.1	Calibration		
	This section specifies the essential elements that shall		Clarifying Statement
	define the procedures and required records for initial		
	calibration and continuing calibration verification for		
M8: 8.1	methods that use calibration models including, but not		
	limited to, average response factor or linear or		
	quadratic regression, to ensure that the data shall be of		
	known quality for the intended use. Calibration requirements for auxiliary equipment are		Clarifying Statement
	specified in Module 2. This section does not specify		ciarrying statement
	detailed procedural steps for calibration but does		
	establish the essential elements for selection of the		
M8: 8.1	appropriate technique(s). This approach allows		
	flexibility and permits the employment of a wide		
	variety of analytical procedures and statistical		
	approaches currently applicable for calibration.		
	When more stringent standards or requirements are		
M8: 8.1	included in a mandated method or by regulation, does		
	the laboratory demonstrate that such requirements are		
	met?		
M8: 8.1	If it is not apparent which requirements are more		
	stringent, are the requirements of the regulation or mandated method followed?		
M8: 8.1.1	Initial Calibration		
1710. 0.1.1	Is each reported analyte associated with an acceptable		
M8: 8.1.1	initial calibration?		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE	Requirement	Conformity	Comments/Objective Evidence
QSM 6.0 Clause	nequilene	C/NC/NA	Comments, Objective Evidence
	If the initial calibration is not acceptable, are corrective		
M8: 8.1.1	actions performed and all associated samples		
	reanalyzed?		
M8: 8.1.1	Are the following items required elements of initial		
1010. 0.1.1	calibration?		
	Are the details of the initial calibration including		
M8: 8.1.1.a	calculations, integrations, acceptance criteria, and		
	associated statistics included or referenced in the		
	procedure?		
M8: 8.1.1.a	When initial calibration procedures are referenced, are		
	the referenced procedures retained by the laboratory?		
	Are sufficient raw data records retained to permit		
	reconstruction of the initial calibration (e.g., calibration		
	date, method, unique instrument identification, analysis date, each analyte name, and individual initials		
M8: 8.1.1.b	or signature; concentration and response, calibration		
	curve or response factor; or unique equation or		
	coefficient used to reduce instrument responses to		
	concentration)?		
	Is the most recent initial calibration analyzed prior to		
M8: 8.1.1.c	the analytical batch used, unless otherwise specified by		
	the method?		
	Are standards used for calibration Certified Reference		
	Materials specifically identified as such in an		
	accompanying Certificate of Analysis from a Reference		
M8: 8.1.1.d	Material Producer (RMP) accredited to ISO 17034 or		
	Standard Reference Materials (SRM) from a National		
	Metrology Institute (NMI), when commercially		
	available?		
	If standards are not commercially available from an		
M8: 8.1.1.d	United States of America or Canada-based RMP, are		
	standards from an authoritative source used?		
M8: 8.1.1.e	Is there a written procedure addressing removal and		
	replacement of calibration standards? Does the procedure comply with the following		
M8: 8.1.1.e	requirements?		
	The laboratory may remove individual analyte		Permission
M8: 8.1.1.e.i	calibration levels from the lowest and/or highest levels		FEITHISSION
1010. 0.1.1.6.1	of the curve.		
M8: 8.1.1.e.i	Multiple levels may be removed.		Permission
1410. 0.1.1.6.1			
M8: 8.1.1.e.i	Is removal of individual analytes in interior levels not permitted?		
	Is removal of an entire single standard calibration level		
	from the interior of the calibration curve only allowed		
M8: 8.1.1.e.ii	when the instrument response demonstrates that the		
	standard was not properly introduced to the		
	instrument, or an incorrect standard was analyzed?		



PJLA				
DoD/DOE			Conformity	
QSM 6.0 Clause	Require	nent	C/NC/NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
	When a calibration level is re	emoved from the interior		
M8: 8.1.1.e.ii	of the calibration, is that cali			
1410. 0.1.1.0.11	all analytes?	Station level removed for		
	Is removal of a calibration le	vel from the interior of the		
M8: 8.1.1.e.ii	curve not to be used to com			
	maintenance or repair to the	·		
	Is the LOQ and quantitation			
M8: 8.1.1.e.iii	adjusted based on the conce	=		
	high and low calibration star	_		
	Are the remaining initial cali			
	be sufficient to meet the min			
M8: 8.1.1.e.iv	the number of initial calibrat	=		
	this standard, the method, a	- 1		
	requirements?	, c. i eganaci,		
	Is a calibration level replaced	provided that the		
M8: 8.1.1.e.v	following are met?	,		
	Is the replacement standard	analyzed within 24 hours		
M8: 8.1.1.e.v.a	of the original calibration sta	-		
	particular calibration level?	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
	Are all analytes of the replace	ement calibration standard		
M8: 8.1.1.e.v.b	replaced if a level within the			
	is replaced?			
M8: 8.1.1.e.v.c	Is the replacement limited to	one calibration level?		
	Is a technically valid reason i			
M8: 8.1.1.e.vi	or replacement of any interior			
	For regression or average re			
	calibrations, is the minimum	-		
	calibration standards as spec			
	Type of Calibration Curve	Minimum Number of Calibration Standards		
	Threshold Testing ^a	1		
	Average Response	5		
	Linear Fit	5		
	Quadratic Fit	6		
M8: 8.1.1.f	^a The initial one-point calibration sh	all he at the threshold level		
	provided by the customer and resul			
	with uncertainty, and in compliance			
	^b Fewer calibration standards may b			
	firmware or software cannot accomstandards. Records detailing that lir			
	the laboratory.	mitation shall be maintained by		
	c Ion-selective electrode analyses (e	.g., pH, ammonia) are not		
	covered by this table. The laborator	ry shall use the minimum number		
	of standards as recommended or re	equired in the reference method,		
	or manufacturer's instructions.	aking akamaland -k - : ll		
NAO: C 4 4	Is the lowest non-zero calibr			
M8: 8.1.1.g	the lowest concentration for	-		
	are to be reported without of	jualification?		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE	Requirement	Conformity	Comments/Objective Evidence
QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	C/NC/NA	comments/Objective Evidence
	Is the highest calibration standard at or above the		
M8: 8.1.1.h	highest concentration for which quantitative data are		
	to be reported without qualification?		
	When sample responses exceed the calibration range,		
MO. O 1 1 h	is the sample diluted and reanalyzed to bring results		
M8: 8.1.1.h	within the calibration range, if sufficient sample		
	volume and holding time permit?		
	If results are outside the calibration range and		
M8: 8.1.1.h	reanalysis is not possible, is the data reported with		
	appropriate qualifiers?		
	For methods utilizing inductively coupled plasma		
	analysis, if the laboratory reports a sample result with a		
	response above the calibration range, does it analyze		
	and pass (within 10% of the true value) a high-level		
M8: 8.1.1.h.i	check standard that exceeds the sample concentration		
	but is within the linear dynamic range (provided the		
	high-level check standard is analyzed in the same		
	manner as the sample and evaluated with the same		
	calibration)?		
	Are sample results quantitated from the initial		
M8: 8.1.1.i	calibration and not quantitated from any continuing		
	calibration verification unless otherwise required by		
	regulation, method, or program?		
NAO. O 1 1 :	Is criteria for the acceptance of an initial calibration		
M8: 8.1.1.j	documented (e.g., correlation coefficient or relative standard deviation)?		
	When procedures are employed that specify calibration		
	with a single calibration standard and a zero point		
M8: 8.1.1.k	(blank or zero, however specified by the procedure),		
	are the following met?		
	Are the zero point and single calibration standard		
M8: 8.1.1.k.i	within the linear range analyzed at least daily and used		
	to establish the slope of the calibration?		
	To verify adequate sensitivity, is a standard analyzed at		
MO. 0 1 1 L ::	or below the lowest concentration for which		
M8: 8.1.1.k.ii	quantitative data are to be reported without		
	qualification?		
M8: 8.1.1.k.ii	Is this standard analyzed before sample analysis with		
IVIO. 0.1.1.N.II	each calibration?		
M8: 8.1.1.k.ii	Does this standard meet the recovery acceptance		
	criteria at the LOQ established by the method?		
M8: 8.1.1.k.ii	If no method criteria exist, does the procedure specify		
	the criteria?		
	For analysis of Aroclors which use a linear through		
M8: 8.1.1.l	origin model (or average response factor), is an initial		
	multi-level calibration performed for a subset of		
	Aroclors (e.g., a mixture of 1016/1260) and a one-point		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity C/NC/NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
	initial calibration used for pattern recognition for the		
	remaining Aroclors?		
	If one of the remaining Aroclors is identified, is a multi-		
M8: 8.1.1.l	level calibration performed for the specific Aroclor		
1010. 0.1.1.1	detected, and the sample extract reanalyzed using the		
	multi-level calibration for quantitation?		
	Are all initial calibrations verified with an initial		
M8: 8.1.1.m	calibration verification standard (ICV) prepared from		
14101 0.1111111	materials from an authoritative, independent, second		
	source before analyzing samples?		
	The use of a calibration material from a second lot		Permission
M8: 8.1.1.m	obtained from the same manufacturer, independently		
	prepared from different source materials, is acceptable		
	for use as a second-source ICV.		
M8: 8.1.1.m	Is the concentration of the second-source ICV at the		
	midrange or lower?		
	When using neat materials for calibration, the second-		Permission
M8: 8.1.1.m	source ICV may be an independent preparation of the		
	neat material used for calibration.		
	Does the calibration verification meet the acceptance		
M8: 8.1.1.m	criteria of the reference method, or if not specified in		
	the reference method, is the acceptance criteria for		
	continuing calibration verification used?		
	For those methods where reporting non-detected		Permission
N40 04 4	analytes based on successful completion of a sensitivity		
M8: 8.1.1.n	check is allowed (similar to threshold testing but only		
	for non-detects), the requirements of this standard		
	shall not prohibit the practice.		
M8: 8.1.2	Continuing Calibration Verification		
	Is the validity of the initial calibration verified before		
M8: 8.1.2	sample analyses by a calibration verification with each		
	analytical batch?		
M8: 8.1.2	Are the following items essential elements of		
	continuing calibration verification?		
	Are the details of the continuing calibration verification		
M8: 8.1.2.a	procedure, calculations, and associated statistics		
	included or referenced in the procedure?		
	Is the calibration verified for each compound, element,		
	or other discrete chemical species, except for multi-		
M8: 8.1.2.b	component analytes such as Aroclors, chlordane, total		
	petroleum hydrocarbons, or toxaphene, where a		
	representative chemical, related substance or mixture		
	may be used?		
	Is the concentration of the continuing calibration		
M8: 8.1.2.c	verification sample (CCV) greater than or equal to the		
	low calibration standard and less than or equal to the		
	mid-range?		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity C / NC / NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
	Is instrument calibration verification performed at the		
M8: 8.1.2.d	beginning and end of each analytical batch, and at the		
IVIO. Ó.1.Z.U	frequency defined in the method except for the		
	following?		
	A second source initial calibration verification that		Permission
M8: 8.1.2.d.i	passes the continuing calibration verification criteria		
	may be used in place of CCV.		
	A LCS may be used in place of a CCV (but not as a		Permission
	replacement for a failing CCV) for methods where the		
M8: 8.1.2.d.ii	calibration goes through the same process as the LCS		
	(using the continuing calibration verification		
	acceptance criteria).		
	Are sufficient raw data records retained to permit		
	reconstruction of the calibration verification (e.g.,		
	method, unique instrument identification, analysis		
M8: 8.1.2.e	date, each analyte name, concentration and response,		
	calibration curve or response factor, or unique		
	equations or coefficients used to convert instrument		
	responses into concentrations)?		
	Do continuing calibration verification records explicitly		
M8: 8.1.2.e	connect the continuing calibration verification data to		
	the initial calibration.?		
M8: 8.1.2.f	Is criteria for the acceptance of a continuing calibration		
	verification established?		
M8: 8.1.2.f	If the continuing calibration verification results obtained are outside the established acceptance		
1010. 0.1.2.1	criteria, are the following steps taken?		
	If a cause for the calibration verification failure is		
	identified that impacts only the CCV (e.g., a missed		
	autosampler injection), does the analysis only proceed		
M8: 8.1.2.f.i	if a second CCV is analyzed immediately (within one		
	hour and no samples analyzed) and the result is within		
	acceptance criteria (i.e., passing)?		
_	Are samples previously analyzed considered valid if		
M8: 8.1.2.f.i	bracketed by a passing CCV?		
	Are records maintained of the cause for the failure of		
M8: 8.1.2.f.i	the first calibration verification result?		
	If a cause for the calibration verification failure is not		
	isolated to the CCV or not identified, is the		
M8: 8.1.2.f.ii	nonconforming work procedure implemented and the		
	CCV and all associated samples since the last successful		
	CCV repeated?		
MO. 0 1 2 f :::	Qualifying data for a failed CCV is only appropriate		Clarifying Statement
M8: 8.1.2.f.iii	when the affected samples cannot be reanalyzed.		
MO: 0 1 2 f :::	Is the customer notified before reporting data		
M8: 8.1.2.f.iii	associated with a failed CCV?		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	C / NC / NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
M8: 8.1.2.f.iv	Is data associated with an unacceptable CCV qualified if reported but not be reported if prohibited by the customer, a regulatory program or regulation?		
M8: 8.1.2.f.iv	Is a different qualifier used for data associated with CCVs that fail under the following special conditions shall still be qualified, only when the following is met?		Permission
M8: 8.1.2.f.iv.a	If the acceptance criteria for the CCV exceeded high (i.e., high bias) and there are associated samples that are non-detects, then are those non-detects are reported with a data qualifier?		
M8: 8.1.2.f.iv.a	Are the samples affected by the unacceptable calibration verification reanalyzed after a new calibration curve has been established, evaluated, and accepted?		
M8: 8.2	Quality Control		
M8: 8.2	Are there QC procedures for monitoring the validity of environmental tests undertaken as specified in this Section?		
M8: 8.2.1	Negative Control – Method Performance: Method Blank		
M8: 8.2.1	For many IH analyses, a media blank takes the place of a method blank.		Clarifying Statement
M8: 8.2.1	For media blanks, is subtraction applied as described in the reference method?		
M8: 8.2.1.a	Is the method blank used to assess the samples in the preparation batch for possible contamination during the preparation and processing steps?		
M8: 8.2.1.b	Is the method blank processed along with and under the same conditions as the associated samples to include all steps?		
M8: 8.2.1.c	Are procedures in place to determine if a method blank is contaminated?		
M8: 8.2.1.d	Are any affected samples associated with a contaminated method blank reprocessed for analysis or the results reported with appropriate data qualifiers?		
M8: 8.2.1.e	Is the method blank analyzed at a minimum of one per preparation batch?		
M8: 8.2.1.f	When no separate preparation method is used (e.g., volatiles in water), is the batch defined as environmental samples that are analyzed together with the same method and personnel, using the same lots of reagents, not to exceed the analysis of 20 environmental samples, not including method blanks, LCS, matrix spikes and matrix duplicates?		



PJLA				
DoD/DOE	Poguiroment	Conformity	Comments/Objective Evidence	
QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	C/NC/NA	Comments/Objective Evidence	
	Does the method blank consist of a quality system			
M8: 8.2.1.g	matrix that is similar to the associated samples and is			
	known to be free of the analytes of interest?			
M0.031h	Method blanks are not applicable for certain analyses,		Permission	
M8: 8.2.1.h	(e.g., pH, conductivity, flash point, and temperature)?			
	For chromatographic analyses, when samples that are			
	extracted together are analyzed on separate			
M8: 8.2.1.i	instruments or in separate analytical shifts, is the			
1010.0.2.1.1	method blank associated with those samples (e.g.,			
	extracted with the samples) analyzed on at least one of			
	those instruments?			
	Is a method blank, solvent blank, or instrument blank			
M8: 8.2.1.i	analyzed on all other instruments on which the set of			
101010121211	samples was analyzed to demonstrate the instrument			
	is not contributing contaminants to the samples?			
M8: 8.2.2	Positive Control – Method Performance: Laboratory			
	Control Sample			
M8: 8.2.2.a	The LCS is used to evaluate the performance of the		Clarifying Statement	
	total measurement system.			
	Is the LCS processed along with and under the same			
M8: 8.2.2.a	conditions as the associated samples and include all			
	steps?			
M8: 8.2.2.b	Is the LCS analyzed at a minimum of one per			
	preparation batch?		<u> </u>	
	Exceptions are allowed for those analytes for which no		Permission	
M8: 8.2.2.c	spiking solutions are available (e.g., pH, color, odor,			
	temperature, dissolved oxygen, or turbidity)?			
	In instances for which no separate preparation method is used (e.g., volatiles in water), is the batch defined as			
	environmental samples that are analyzed together with			
M8: 8.2.2.d	the same method and personnel, using the same lots of			
1V10. 0.2.2.u	reagents, not to exceed the analysis of 20			
	environmental samples, not including method blanks,			
	LCS, matrix spikes, and matrix duplicates?			
	Is the LCS of a quality system matrix similar to the			
	associated samples, known to be free of analytes of			
M8: 8.2.2.e	interest, spiked with known concentrations of			
	analytes?			
	Alternatively, does the LCS consist of a media			
M8: 8.2.2.f	containing known and verified concentrations of			
	analytes or a Certified Reference Material.			
M0.022f	Are all analyte concentrations within the calibration			
M8: 8.2.2.f	range of the methods			
	Are the components to be spiked as specified by the			
M8: 8.2.2.g	reference method or regulation, or as requested by the			
	customer?			
M8: 8.2.2.g	In the absence of specified spiking components, is the			
1VIO. 0.2.2.g	spike as follows?			



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	C / NC / NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
M8: 8.2.2.g.i	Are all reported analytes spiked in the LCS (with the exception of Aroclor analysis, which is spiked per the method)?		
M8: 8.2.2.g.i	This may require the preparation of multiple LCSs to avoid interferences.		Clarifying Statement
M8: 8.2.2.g.ii	Is the concentration of the spiked compounds at or below the midrange of the calibration if customer-provided concentrations are not specified? Note: The matrix spike may be used in place of the LCS if the acceptance criteria are as stringent as the LCS acceptance criteria.		
M8: 8.2.3	Sample-Specific Controls		
M8: 8.2.3	Are there documented procedures for determining the effect of the sample matrix on method performance?		
M8: 8.2.3	These procedures relate to the analyses of quality system matrix specific QC samples and are designed as data quality indicators for a specific sample using the designated method. These controls alone are not used to judge laboratory performance.		Clarifying Statement
M8: 8.2.3	Examples of matrix-specific QC include Matrix Spike (MS), Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD), and Matrix Duplicate (MD).		Clarifying Statement
M8: 8.2.3	Are there procedures in place for tracking, managing, and handling matrix-specific QC criteria, including spiking appropriate components at appropriate concentrations; calculating percent recovery (%R), relative percent difference (RPD), and other appropriate statistical measures; and evaluating and reporting results based on performance of the QC samples?		
M8: 8.2.3.a	Matrix Spikes and Matrix Spike Duplicates		
M8: 8.2.3.a	Matrix-specific QC samples indicate the effect of the sample matrix on the precision and accuracy of the results generated using the selected method. The information from these controls is sample/matrix specific and would not normally be used to determine the validity of the entire batch. A MS and MSD are usually not required in IH methods.		Clarifying Statement
M8: 8.2.3.a.i	Does each preparation batch of samples contain an associated MS and MSD where required by method or regulation using the same matrix collected for the specific project unless specifically exempt by the applicable method or the applicable B-Table?		
M8: 8.2.3.a.i	The requirements for MS/MSD are not applicable to all methods. If adequate sample material is not available,		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity C / NC / NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
	is the lack of MS/MSDs noted in the case narrative, and		
	a LCS Duplicate (LCSD) used to determine precision?		
M8: 8.2.3.a.i	Additional MS/MSDs may be required by a customer.		Clarifying Statement
M8: 8.2.3.a.ii	Are the MS and MSD spiked with all reported analytes (except for Aroclor analysis, which is spiked per the method)?		
M8: 8.2.3.b	Matrix Duplicates		
M8: 8.2.3.b.i	Does each preparation batch of samples contain a MD when precision is not monitored through the analysis of a MS/MSD pair?		
M8: 8.2.3.b.i	MDs are defined as replicate aliquots of the same sample taken through the entire analytical procedure. The results from this analysis indicate the precision of the results for the specific sample using the selected method. The MD may provide a usable measure of sample homogeneity. It may also provide a measure of precision when target analytes are present. A MD is usually not required in IH methods.		Clarifying Statement
M8: 8.2.3.b.ii	Is the frequency of the analysis of a MD as specified by the customer or method?		
M8: 8.2.3.b.iii	Are matrix duplicates performed on replicate aliquots of actual samples?		
M8: 8.2.3.b.iii	The composition is usually not known.		Clarifying Statement
M8: 8.2.4	Data Reduction		
M8: 8.2.4	Is there a procedure for data reduction (e.g., linear regression), and records maintained?		
M8: 8.2.5	Reagent Quality, Water Quality, and Checks		
M8: 8.2.5.a	In methods where the purity of reagents is not specified, is analytical reagent grade or better used?		
M8: 8.2.5.a	Are reagents of lesser purity than those specified by the method not used?		
M8: 8.2.5.b	Does the quality of water sources meet method specified documented requirements?		
M8: 8.2.5.b	Are the water sources monitored that they meet the requirements?		
M8: 8.2.5.b	Are the water source records maintained?		
M8: 8.2.5.c	Is the concentration of titrants verified in accordance with written laboratory procedures?		
M8: 8.2.5.c	Are titrant concentration verification records maintained?		
M8: 8.2.5.d	Are the quality (e.g., purity) specifications for all standards and reagents (including water) included or referenced in procedures?		
M8: 8.2.6	Selectivity		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE	Requirement	Conformity	Comments/Objective Evidence
QSM 6.0 Clause	noqui entent	C/NC/NA	Sommers, Sujective Evidence
	Is selectivity validated by following the checks		
M8: 8.2.6	established within the method and/or the Appendix B		
	IH Tables?		
	For chromatography methods where confirmation is		
M8: 8.2.6.a	recommended or required in the reference method or		
1410. 0.2.0.4	by the customer, are all results greater than the DL		
	confirmed?		
	Confirmation techniques include further analysis using		Clarifying Statement
	a second column with dissimilar stationary phase, using		
M8: 8.2.6.a	a second detector type, or by other recognized		
	confirmation techniques. HPLC UV-Diode Array		
	detectors are not considered confirmation for a UV		
	detector.		
	Do confirmation techniques using the same detector		
M8: 8.2.6.a.i	type (e.g., second-column confirmation) meet the same		
	calibration and QC criteria as the initial or primary analysis?		
	Are the RPD of results from the primary and		
M8: 8.2.6.a.ii	confirmation technique using the same detector type		
1010. 0.2.0.a.ii	less than or equal to 40%?		
	If using a second column for confirmation, is the		
M8: 8.2.6.a.iii	primary column identified for each target analyte?		
	If results are reported from the second column due to		
M8: 8.2.6.a.iii	interference, QC failure, or customer requirements, is it		
	discussed in the case narrative?		
	If using a mass spectrometer for confirmation, is there		
M8: 8.2.6.a.iv	a procedure that includes acceptance criteria for		
	selectivity and sensitivity?		
	When reporting data for methods that require analyte		
M8: 8.2.6.a.v	confirmation, are customer reporting requirements		
	followed?		
M8: 8.2.6.a.v	If customer requirements are not available, are the		
IVIO. 0.2.0.a.V	reporting requirements in the method followed?		
	If the method does not include reporting requirements,		
	are the results reported from the primary column or		
M8: 8.2.6.a.v	detector, unless there is a scientifically valid and		
	documented reason for not doing so, and concurrence		
	is obtained from the customer?		
	Is the customer notified of any results that are		
MO: 0.0.6	unconfirmed (e.g., confirmation was not performed, or		
M8: 8.2.6.a.vi	confirmation was obscured by interference) and the		
	results identified in the test report using data qualifiers		
	and described in the case narrative?		
M8: 8.2.6.a.vi	Is analyte presence only reported if both original and confirmation signals are positive or if confirmation		
IVIO. O.Z.D.d.VI	signal cannot be discerned from interference?		
MO. 0 2 7	-		
M8: 8.2.7	Desorption Efficiency		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity C / NC / NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
	Are results corrected for desorption efficiency as		
MO: 0 2 7 a	recommended or required in the reference method for		
M8: 8.2.7.a	each type of adsorbent media used in the laboratory		
	before or concurrent with sample analysis?		
	Unless prohibited by the reference method, the		
	laboratory may meet this requirement by preparing its		
M8: 8.2.7.b	initial calibration standards on the media used for		
	samples. When this option is used, are sample results		
	quantitated directly from the initial calibration?		
M8: 8.2.7.c	Is the same lot of media used as samples, where possible?		
	Where use of the same lot of media as samples or		
M0.0274	determination of the desorption efficiency is not		
M8: 8.2.7.d	possible, are results qualified and explained in the case		
	narrative?		
M8: 8.3	Data Acceptance/Rejection Criteria		
M8: 8.3.1	Negative Control – Method Performance: Method Blank		
	While the goal is to have no detectable contaminants,		Clarifying Statement
	each method blank exhibiting potential contamination		
M8: 8.3.1.a	shall be critically evaluated as to the nature of the		
	interference and the effect on the analysis of each		
	sample within the batch.		
	Is a method blank considered contaminated if the		
	concentration of any target analyte (chemical of		
M8: 8.3.1.a	concern) in the blank exceeds the LOQ or 1/10th the		
	amount measured in any associated sample, whichever is greater?		
	When a method blank is contaminated and background		
	contamination is not subtracted from field sample		
M8: 8.3.1.b	results, are all affected QC and field samples processed		
1410. 0.3.1.0	with the contaminated blank reprepared and analyzed,		
	if sufficient sample material is available?		
	Are samples affected if they have any detections less		
M8: 8.3.1.b	than 10X the amount detected in the MB?		
	If the affected samples cannot be reprepared and		
	analyzed, are results reported with a data qualifier		
M8: 8.3.1.b	applied to specific analytes in all samples in the		
	associated preparatory batch?		
	Positive Control – Method Performance: Laboratory		
M8: 8.3.2	Control Sample		
	Are results of the individual batch LCS calculated in %R		
M8: 8.3.2.a	or other appropriate statistical technique that allows		
	comparison to established acceptance criteria?		
M8: 8.3.2.a	Is the calculation included in the procedure?		
	1		1



PJLA	1		
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity C / NC / NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
M8: 8.3.2.b	Is the LCS recovery evaluated against acceptance		
	criteria provided by the customer or listed in the		
	reference method?		
	For analytes that are not listed in the reference		
M8: 8.3.2.b	method, is the LCS evaluated against its laboratory-		
	developed acceptance criteria?		
	When LCS results are outside of acceptance criteria,		
	does the laboratory reprepare and analyze the LCS and		
M8: 8.3.2.c	all affected QC samples and field samples in the		
	associated preparation batch for failed analytes, if		
	sufficient material is available?		
	If the samples cannot be reanalyzed, are results		
M8: 8.3.2.c	reported with appropriate data qualifiers applied to		
1410. 0.3.2.0	specific analytes in all samples in the associated		
	preparatory batch.		
	When the acceptance criteria for the positive control		
	are exceeded high (i.e., high bias) and there are		
M8: 8.3.2.c.i	associated samples that are non-detects, are those		
	non-detect results reported with a data qualifier (and		
	sample repreparation and analysis is not necessary).		
	Regardless of which limits are used for LCS evaluation,		
M8: 8.3.2.d	is acceptance criteria developed for all analytes on the		
	laboratory's scope of accreditation that meet the		
	following?		
	Are statistically derived based on the laboratory's		
M8: 8.3.2.d.i	historical data, using scientifically valid and		
	documented procedures?		
M8: 8.3.2.d.ii	Meet the limits within the reference method if available?		
	Are updated on at least an annual basis or as stated in		
M8: 8.3.2.d.iii	the reference method, whichever is more frequent,		
1010. 0.3.2.4.111	and re-established after major changes in the		
	measurement system (e.g., new instrumentation)?		
M8: 8.3.2.d.iv	Are based on at least 20 data points generated under		
	the same measurement system?		
	Do not exclude failed LCS recovery data and statistical		
M8: 8.3.2.d.v	outliers from the calculation, unless there is a		
	scientifically valid and documented reason (e.g.,		
	incorrectly made standard, instrument malfunction)?		
M8: 8.3.2.d.vi	Are not outside ± 3 times the standard deviation of the mean LCS recovery?		
M8: 8.3.2.d.vii	Are used for trend analysis? and		
M8: 8.3.2.d.viii	Are used for batch control, if applicable?		
M8: 8.3.2.e	Are control charts or data analysis software maintained	$\overline{}$	
	and used to detect trends and prevent out-of-control conditions?		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity C / NC / NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
	Are control limits monitored at least quarterly for shifts		
M8: 8.3.2.e	in mean recovery, changes in standard deviation, and development of trends?		
M8: 8.3.2.e	If laboratory chooses representative compounds for		
	control charts for the purpose of trend analysis, is the		
	basis for selecting representative compounds		
	documented and scientifically valid?		
	Are control charts reviewed at a specified frequency for		
M8: 8.3.2.f	out-of-control conditions and corrective actions		
	initiated when appropriate?		
M8: 8.3.2.f	Data analysis software may also be used for the statistical evaluation of data for trends.		Permission
M8: 8.3.2.g	Are laboratory-developed LCS control limits used for the purpose of trend analysis?		
	Laboratory-developed LCS control limits may be used		Permission
M8: 8.3.2.g	as a component in estimating measurement		
	uncertainty.		
M8: 8.3.2.h	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates		
	Are the LCSD recovery and RPD evaluated using		
M8: 8.3.2.h.i	acceptance criteria provided by the customer, or if		
1018: 8.3.2.11.1	customer requirements are not provided, using the		
	appropriate Appendix B Table?		
	If these acceptance criteria are not available, are the		
M8: 8.3.2.h.i	LCSD recovery and RPD evaluated using the reference		
	method, or if not specified in the reference method,		
	using laboratory-developed limits?		
M0. 0.2.2 b. ::	If LCSD results are outside of acceptance criteria, is the		
M8: 8.3.2.h.ii	data evaluated to determine if the source of the failure		
	is analytical error? If the source of the failure is analytical error, is the		
	sample reprepared and analyzed for failed analytes in		
M8: 8.3.2.h.ii	all affected QC samples and field samples in the		
11101 01312	associated preparation batch, if sufficient material is		
	available?		
	Otherwise, are all specific analytes in all samples		
M8: 8.3.2.h.ii	qualified in the associated preparatory batch?		
M8: 8.3.3	Sample-Specific Controls		
M8: 8.3.3.a	Matrix Spike; Matrix Spike Duplicate		
	The results from matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate		Clarifying Statement
	are primarily designed to assess the precision and		
M8: 8.3.3.a	accuracy of analytical results in a given matrix and are		
	expressed as percent recovery (%R), RPD, or other		
	appropriate statistical technique that allows		
M8: 8.3.3.a	comparison to established acceptance criteria.		
	Is there a procedure for the calculation of %R, RPD or		
	other statistical treatment used?		



PJLA			
DoD/DOE QSM 6.0 Clause	Requirement	Conformity C/NC/NA	Comments/Objective Evidence
M8: 8.3.3.a.i	Are the MS/MSD recoveries evaluated using the same acceptance criteria used for the LCS?		
M8: 8.3.3.a.ii	Is the MS/MSD RPD evaluated against customer requirements, or if customer requirements are not provided, the reference method, or if not provided by the customer or reference method, the laboratory-developed limits?		
M8: 8.3.3.a.iii	If MS or MSD results or MS/MSD RPD are outside the acceptance criteria, is the data evaluated to determine if the source(s) of failure is analytical error?		
M8: 8.3.3.a.iii	If the source(s) of failure is analytical error, are the MS and MSD reprepared and analyzed if sufficient sample material is available?		
M8: 8.3.3.a.iii	If the MS and MSD cannot be reprepared and analyzed, are specific analytes qualified in the parent sample?		
M8: 8.3.3.b	Matrix Duplicates		
M8: 8.3.3.b	The results from matrix duplicates are primarily designed to assess the homogeneity of the particular sample chosen. If that sample is homogenous, it may also describe the precision of analytical results in a given matrix. These may be expressed as RPD or another statistical treatment (e.g., absolute differences).		Clarifying Statement
M8: 8.3.3.b.i	Is there a procedure for the calculation for RPD or other statistical treatments?		
M8: 8.3.3.b.ii	Is the MD RPD evaluated against customer requirements, or if not specified, the reference method, or if not specified, with its laboratory-developed limits?		
M8: 8.3.3.b.iii	If the MD RPD is outside the acceptance criteria, is the data evaluated to determine if the source of failure is analytical error?		
M8: 8.3.3.b.iii	If the source of failure is analytical error, is the MD reprepared and analyzed if sufficient sample material is available?		
M8: 8.3.3.b.iii	If the MD cannot be reprepared and analyzed, are specific analytes qualified in all samples in the associated preparatory batch?		